1 2 3 4 5	JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney - State Bar #12 RANDOLPH W. HALL, Assistant City Attorney JAMES F. HODGKINS, Supervising Trial Atto CHARLES E. VOSE, Senior Deputy City Attor One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 238-2961 Fax: cevose@oaklandcityattorney.org 25581/456929	/ – State Bar #080142 rney – State Bar #142561
6 7	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND OAKLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT, WAYNE TUCKER, SGT. BERNARD ORTIZ	,
8		
9	UNITED STATES (	DISTRICT COURT
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	NORTHERN DIOTRIC	OF OALH ORNIA
12		
13	MIGUEL ORTEGA, BENJAMIN ORTEGA, A Minor, By and through his Guardian Ad Litem, ANA ROSA ORTEGA,	Case No. C07-02659 JCS
14	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
15		AMENDED COMPLAINT
16	V.	
17	CITY OF OAKLAND, OAKLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT, WAYNE TUCKER, In His	
18	Capacity as the Police Chief of the City of Oakland, RAMON J. ALCANTAR,	
19	Individually and in his capacity as a Police Officer for the City of Oakland, BERNARD	
20	ORTIZ, Individually and in his capacity as a Police Officer for the City of Oakland, DOES	
21	1 THROUGH 200,	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24	Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND OAKL	LAND POLICE DEPARTMENT, POLICE
25		
	CHIEF WAYNE TUCKER, and SERGEANT B	ERNARD ORTIZ (hereinafter
26		

5

8

13

11

1415

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

24 25

26

"Defendants"), hereby answer, object, and otherwise respond to the Second Amended Complaint on file herein as follows.

#### INTRODUCTION

- Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.
- Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.
- Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.
- 4. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.
- 5. Defendants admit that Chief Tucker and Sergeant Ortiz act under the color of state law. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation contained herein.
- 6. Defendants deny that there are policies or customs of the City of Oakland as alleged in this paragraph and further deny that any actions of defendants violated any constitutional rights of plaintiffs. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation contained herein.

#### 1 JURISDICTION 2 7. Defendants deny that any unlawful acts or practices as alleged 3 occurred. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 4 to the truth of the remaining allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each 5 and every remaining allegation contained herein. 6 8. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 7 belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and 8 every allegation contained herein. 9 9. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 10 belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein. 11 12 10. Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph. 13 11. Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph. 14 12. Defendants admit that Sergeant Ortiz is a police officer for the City of 15 Oakland and that he acts under the color of state law. Defendants are without knowledge 16 or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this

13. Defendants deny that plaintiffs were injured or damaged in any way. The remaining portions of the paragraph contain statements and conclusions of law not requiring a response from defendants.

paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation contained herein.

14. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.

24

///

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 ///

26 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 15. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.
- 16. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.
- 17. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every allegation contained herein.
- Defendants admit that Chief Tucker and Sergeant Ortiz act under the 18. color of state law. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation contained herein.
- 19. Defendants deny that there are policies or customs of the City of Oakland as alleged in this paragraph. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in this paragraph and on that basis, deny each and every remaining allegation contained herein.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 20. Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 19, as previously set forth.
- 21. Defendants admit that the United States Constitution protects certain rights as set forth in this paragraph. Defendants further admit that defendants act under the color of state law. Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph of the complaint.

26 ///

1	22. Defendants deny that plaintiffs suffered any injuries or damages as a		
2	result of any act of defendants.		
3	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
4	23. Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations		
5	contained in paragraphs 1 through 22, as previously set forth.		
6	24. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the		
7	complaint.		
8	25. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the		
9	complaint.		
10	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION		
11	26. Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations		
12	contained in paragraphs 1 through 25, as previously set forth.		
13	27. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the		
14	complaint.		
15	28. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the		
16	complaint.		
17	29. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the		
18	complaint.		
19	30. Defendants deny that plaintiffs suffered any injuries or damages as a		
20	result of any act of defendants. Defendants deny the remaining allegations set forth in		
21	this paragraph of the complaint.		
22	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
23	31. Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations		
24	contained in paragraphs 1 through 30, as previously set forth.		
25	32. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 32 of the		
26	complaint.		

Defendants deny that defendants are liable under any theory or in

33.

1

1	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
2	43. Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations		
3	contained in paragraphs 1 through 42, as previously set forth.		
4	44. Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 44 of the		
5	complaint, and further deny that plaintiffs suffered any injuries or damages as a result of		
6	any act of defendants.		
7	45. Defendants deny that plaintiffs suffered any injuries or damages as a		
8	result of any act of defendants and further deny that plaintiffs are entitled to an award of		
9	punitive damages.		
10	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
11	46. Defendants incorporate herein their responses to the allegations		
12	contained in paragraphs 1 through 45, as previously set forth.		
13	47. Defendants admit that Chief Tucker and Sergeant Ortiz have a duty		
14	of care in their capacities as Chief of Police and police officer, respectively, to avoid		
15	causing unnecessary physical harm and emotional distress to citizens. Defendants deny		
16	the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 47 of the complaint and further deny		
17	that plaintiffs suffered any injuries or damages as a result of any act of defendants.		
18	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
19	48. Defendants do not answer the allegations in the Ninth Cause of		
20	Action. Defendants are Filing a Motion to Strike the Ninth Cause of Action concurrently		
21	with this answer.		
22	49. Defendants do not answer the allegations in the Ninth Cause of		
23	Action. Defendants are Filing a Motion to Strike the Ninth Cause of Action concurrently		
24	with this answer.		
25	50. Defendants do not answer the allegations in the Ninth Cause of		
26	Action. Defendants are Filing a Motion to Strike the Ninth Cause of Action concurrently		

1	1 with this answer.			
2	2 51. Defendant	s do not answer the allegations in the Ninth Cause of		
3	Action. Defendants are Filing a Motion to Strike the Ninth Cause of Action concurrently			
4	4 with this answer.			
5	5 52. Defendant	s do not answer the allegations in the Ninth Cause of		
6	6 Action. Defendants are Filing	a Motion to Strike the Ninth Cause of Action concurrently		
7	7 with this answer.	with this answer.		
8	8 53. Defendant	s do not answer the allegations in the Ninth Cause of		
9	9 Action. Defendants are Filing	Action. Defendants are Filing a Motion to Strike the Ninth Cause of Action concurrently		
10	0 with this answer.			
11	1	TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
12	<sup>2</sup> 54. Defendant	s incorporate herein their responses to the allegations		
13	3 contained in paragraphs 1 thro	contained in paragraphs 1 through 47, as previously set forth.		
14				
15	~ <u> </u>	s deny the allegations contained in paragraph 55 of the		
16	56. Defendant	s deny the allegations contained in paragraph 56 of the		
17	complaint, and further deny tha	at plaintiffs suffered any injuries or damages as a result of		
18				
19	<b>~</b>   <i>*</i>	any act of defendants.		
20		s deny the allegations contained in paragraph 57 of the		
21	complaint.			
22	58. Defendant	s deny that plaintiffs are entitled to either injunctive relief or		
23	an award of attorneys' fees as alleged in paragraph 58 of the complaint			
24	4			
25				
26	6 ///			

# 3

## 5

4

### 6 7

### 8

## 9

## 10 11

### 12

## 13

## 14 15

## 16

# 17

## 18

## 19 20

## 21

### 22 23

# 24

## 25 26

### **CLAIM REQUIREMENT**

59. This paragraph contains statements and conclusions of law not requiring a response from defendants.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

60. This paragraph contains statements and conclusions of law not requiring a response from defendants.

#### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

AS A FURTHER, FIRST, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants allege that the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

AS A FURTHER, SECOND, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants allege, on information and belief, that plaintiffs did not exercise ordinary care, caution, or prudence to avoid the alleged event and/or accident; consequently, the subsequent injuries or damages, if any, sustained by plaintiffs were proximately caused by and contributed to by plaintiffs' comparative negligence, and any damages they might otherwise be entitled to should be proportionately reduced by the degree of plaintiffs' negligence.

AS A FURTHER, THIRD, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants allege that the injuries and damages plaintiffs complain of resulted from the acts and/or omissions of others, or acts of God, and without any fault on the part of defendants.

AS A FURTHER, FOURTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, defendants allege that any party or individual who contributed to and/or caused the alleged injuries and damages was not acting as its agent or with its knowledge or within

1	the course and/or scope of employment with defendant CITY OF OAKLAND.		
2	AS A FURTHER, FIFTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		
3	defendants allege that, to the extent that plaintiffs may attempt to allege state claims,		
4	these are barred by all applicable Government Code protections and immunities,		
5	including, but not limited to, sections 815 through 900. Said sections are pleaded as		
6	though fully set forth herein.		
7	AS A FURTHER, SIXTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		
8	defendants allege that all of the actions of defendants were undertaken in good faith and		
9	with the reasonable belief that such actions were valid, necessary, reasonable, lawful and		
10	constitutionally proper, entitling defendants to the qualified immunity of good faith.		
11	AS A FURTHER, SEVENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		
12	defendants allege that, if they in any fashion caused the injuries or damages alleged,		
13	although such liability is expressly denied herein, their acts and/or omissions were		
14	reasonable and privileged.		
15	AS A FURTHER, EIGHTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		
16	defendants allege, on information and belief, that plaintiffs failed to mitigate damages.		
17	AS A FURTHER, NINTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		
18	defendants allege that, to the extent that plaintiffs allege or assert matters not contained		
19	in a legally sufficient claim filed by them, this action is barred by the claims requirements		
20	set forth in Government Code Section 905 et seq.		
21	AS A FURTHER, TENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		
22	defendants allege that this action is barred, under Government Code § 945.6, by		
23	plaintiffs' failure to file their action within six months after denial of their claim by		
24	defendants.		
25	AS A FURTHER, ELEVENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		

defendants allege that this action is barred by all applicable statutes of limitations.

25

1	<i>III</i>		
2	AS A FURTHER, TWELFTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		
3	defendants allege that any force used in this instance was reasonable.		
4	AS A FURTHER, THIRTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE		
5	DEFENSE, defendants allege that any actions taken by defendants were taken pursuant		
6	to a lawful and valid policy of the City of Oakland.		
7	AS A FURTHER, FOURTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE		
8	DEFENSE, defendants allege that plaintiffs caused the actions of which plaintiffs		
9	complain.		
10	AS A FURTHER, FIFTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		
11	defendants allege that any actions taken against plaintiffs were pursuant to a lawful		
12	detention and/or arrest.		
13	AS A FURTHER, SIXTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,		
14	defendants allege that any actions taken against plaintiffs were necessary to protect		
15	officer safety.		
16	AS A FURTHER, SEVENTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE		
17	DEFENSE, defendants allege that plaintiffs improperly added the Ninth Cause of Action in		
18	the Second Amended Complaint without the required leave of the Court and in violation of		
19	the Court's order.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

1		<u>PRAYER</u>	
2	WHEREFO	WHEREFORE, defendants pray that:	
3	1. Plair	ntiffs take nothing by their Complaint;	
4	2. Defe	endants have judgment against Plaintiffs;	
5	3. Defe	endants be awarded their costs of suit; and	
6	4. For s	such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.	
7	DATED: JUNE 27, 2008	DATED: JUNE 27, 2008	
8		JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney	
9		RANDOLPH W. HALL, Assistant City Attorney JAMES F. HODGKINS, Supervising Trial Attorney	
10		CHARLES E. VOSE, Senior Deputy City Attorney	
11			
12		By:/ <i>S</i> /	
13		Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, OAKLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT, WAYNE TUCKER, and	
14		BERNARD ORTIZ	
15			
16			
17			
8			
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
 25			
26			